RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 1 0 2006

## **FAX COVER SHEET**

To: USPTO  Art Unit 3636  Exr. Winnies. Vip	Date: 03   10   06
From: Wery er H. Schroeder Patent Agent # 36, 387	
Number of pages including cover sheet: 6  Comments: Hesponse to Offi	ce Action Rejection
James A. Showsh	
Signature	·

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Fax:

Approved for use through 07/51/2008, OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Patramork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to except to a collection of information unless it displays a yaird OMR control number. Application Number 10/813.946 Filing Date 87/97/2003 TRANSMITTAL First Named Inventor Keyin T. Connelly FORM Art Unit 3636 Examiner Name Winnie S. Ya (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Altorney Docket Number Total Number of Peges in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowence Communication to TC Drawing(s) Fee Transmitts! Form Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Fee Attached Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Affidavits/declaration(a) Change of Correspondence Address Other Enciosure(s) (please Identify Terminal Disclaimer below): Extension of Time Request Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request CD. Number of CD(s) ... Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD **Certified Copy of Priority** Remerks Document(s) 1) Amended drawing Sheet 2) Amended dalms Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application 3) Arguments Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Signature Printed tracte Werner H. Schroeder Date Reg. No. 36,387 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that title correspondence is being facelmile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mall in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature 03/10/2006 Werner H. Schroeder Typed or printed name

This objection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a banefit by the public which is to Re (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This objection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and autimiting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete his form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistence in completing the form, cell 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

## Response to an Office Action Rejection

. Application No. 10/813,948

This communication is a response to an Office Action rejection having a mailing date of 02/22/2006 and setting forth a shortened statutory period for response of three months which would expire on 05/22/2006.

In par. 3 of the examiner's office action, the examiner objects to the drawings because the exploded view in Fig. 1 showing separated parts should be combined by a bracket. This will be done in a substitute drawing sheet.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupferman in view of Bilotti and further in view of Johnson. The examiner is using two references to modify what is being claimed and a third reference is being used to further modify THE first modification. The Board of Appeals, the former CCPA and the now CAFC frown on this tactic to reject claims. It is well settled that the proposed modifications by the examiner must flow from the references and not from applicant's specification.

It is pointed out to the examiner that by the time the various modifications have been made to the Kupferman umbrella, the umbrella cannot perform anymore as was intended. This definitely is not an indicia of obviousness as was promulgated in Graham v. Deere.

It is pointed out to the examiner that none of the references cited and used in the rejection of the claims is a combination of a shade cover and a rain cover as is explained in the specification. The rain cover is separate from the shade cover but is of the same size. The rain cover is stored in a separate location until the event of rain occurs. At that instant, the rain cover is placed over the shade cover and is fastened to the shade cover at the tips of the ribs.

Again, this is nowhere taught by the three references.

Claim 1 has been amended to clearly set forth the above noted subject of the invention. Therefore, the amended claim 1 and the claims depending therefrom should be clearly allowable over the cited prior art. None of the references teach a separable water proof rain cover which is not in use and stored separably until the event of rain.

Claims 9 - 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupferman in view of Bilotti and Johnson and further in view of Allee.

The examiner is now using four references to reject theses claims. The applicant is convinced that one of ordinary skill in the art having theses four references in front or her/him would not be able to write the claims 9 - 11. That is requirement under 35 U.S.C. 103.

In view of the fact that claim 1 has been amended to clearly set forth applicant's invention, a rejection of these claims should be most and overcome.

In view of all of the above, it is believed that all rejections and objections have been answered and have been overcome and the examiner is respectfully requested to allow the presently amended claims and pass the application to an early indication of allowance.

Werner H. Schroeder

Reg. No. 36,387

Date: 03/10/06